



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO:
09/752,372	12/29/2000	Jacob Christensen	42390P10205	1521

8791 7590 01/08/2004

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025

EXAMINER

HYUN, SOON D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2663

DATE MAILED: 01/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/752,372

Applicant(s)

CHRISTENSEN, JACOB

Examiner

Soon-Dong Hyun

Art Unit

2663

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 December 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 3-8, 10-14, 17-19, 22-24, 27-29 and 31-39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 3-5, 10, 11, 13, 14, 17-19, 22-24, 27-29 and 31-37 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 12, 38 and 39 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. The indicated allowability of claims 3-8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 17-19, 22-24, 27-29, and 31-37 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to IETF RFC 791 or already cited reference Young et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,541,919). Rejections based on the cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 22-24 and 31-33 are objected to because of the following informalities.

Claims 22-24 are objected to because all instances of “adapted to” in each claim should have be deleted to make the claim positive. Language such as “adapted to/for”, configured to/for”, or arranged to/for” (or similar phrases such as “enabled to”, “capable of”, etc.) is not considered positive recitation per MPEP 2106.

Claims 31-33 are dependent upon a canceled claim 26. The claims 31-33 are assumed to be dependent upon claim 27. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 3-8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 17-19, and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by IETF RFC 791.

Art Unit: 2663

Regarding claims 3-5, 17, 18, 22, and 23, IETF RFC 791 (RFC) discloses a method to manage packet fragmentation, comprising:

determining an operating parameter (a type of service), with the parameter to represent a priority level (normal or low delay, normal or high throughput, normal or high reliability), see page 11;

determining an operating status (control flags in flags field) for the operating parameter, with the operating status to comprise active (a bit indicating may fragment) or de-active (a bit indicating don't fragment), see page 12; and

performing packet fragmentation substantially in accordance with the operating status.

Regarding claims 6-8, 13, 14, 19, and 24, RFC further discloses a field for a Time to Live for the packet, see page 10.

Regarding claims 10 and 11, refer to the discussion for the claim 3, each packet comprises an operating parameter identifier (D, T, R) for the service of type.

5. Claims 27-29 and 31-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Young et al.

Regarding claim 27, Young et al (Young) discloses a method to perform fragmentation, comprising:

determining an operating parameter for a packet (available bit-rate of the out put channel for the packet);

determining a packet fragment size using the operating parameter; and

Art Unit: 2663

performing packet fragmentation for the packet fragment size, wherein the operating parameter comprises a connection speed (available bit-bit rate of the output channel), see col. 2 line 48-col. 8, line 25.

Regarding claims 28 and 29, Young further discloses that the packet fragment size increases when the connection speed increases and the packet fragment size decreases when the connection speed decreases.

Regarding claims 31-32, and 34, Young further discloses that the operating parameter comprises a priority level and the packet fragment size decreases when the priority level increases and the packet fragment size increases when the priority level decreases.

Regarding claim 33, Young further discloses that evaluating whether the operating parameter has been determined within a limited time period, see equations EQ 1-3.

Regarding claims 35 and 36, refer to discussion for the claims 28, 29, 31, and 32.

Regarding claim 37, refer to discussion for the claim 33.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 12 , 38, and 39 are being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Soon-Dong Hyun whose telephone number is (703) 305-4550. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 A.M. to 5:30 P.M..

Art Unit: 2663

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen, can be reached on (703) 308-5340.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to: 703-872-9306 for formal communications intended for entry with a label of "OFFICIAL" and for informal or draft communications with a label of "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT" (attn: Art Unit 2663, Soon-Dong Hyun).

is

S. Hyun

12/30/2003



CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600